

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners:

Robert G. Taub, Chairman;  
Tony Hammond, Vice Chairman;  
Mark Acton; and  
Nanci E. Langley

Competitive Product Prices  
First-Class Package Service Contracts  
First-Class Package Service Contract 92

Docket No. MC2018-133

Competitive Product Prices  
First-Class Package Service Contract 92  
(MC2018-133)  
Negotiated Service Agreements

Docket No. CP2018-189

ORDER ADDING FIRST-CLASS PACKAGE SERVICE CONTRACT 92  
TO THE COMPETITIVE PRODUCT LIST

(Issued April 2, 2018)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as First-Class Package Service Contract 92 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup> For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Request.

---

<sup>1</sup> USPS Request to Add First-Class Package Service Contract 92 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal, March 22, 2018 (Request).

## II. BACKGROUND

On March 22, 2018, in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3642 and 39 C.F.R. §§ 3020.30-.35, the Postal Service filed the Request, along with supporting documents. In the Request, the Postal Service asserts that First-Class Package Service Contract 92 is a competitive product that establishes rates “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. § 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. Among the supporting documents, the Postal Service included a copy of the Governors’ Decision authorizing the product, a contract related to the proposed new product, requested changes to the competitive product list, a statement supporting the Request, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a), and financial workpapers. In addition, the Postal Service submitted an application for non-public treatment of materials requesting that unredacted portions of the Governors’ Decision and the contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information remain under seal. *Id.* Attachment F.<sup>2</sup>

The contract is intended to take effect two business days after the date that the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval. Request, Attachment B at 5. It is set to expire three years from the effective date. *Id.*

On March 23, 2018, the Commission issued a notice establishing the two dockets, appointing a Public Representative, and providing interested persons with an opportunity to comment.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> In its application for non-public treatment of materials, the Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. *Id.* at 1, 7. The Commission has consistently denied similar requests for indefinite protection. See, e.g., Docket Nos. MC2011-1 and CP2011-2, Order No. 563, Order Approving Express Mail Contract 9 Negotiated Service Agreement, October 20, 2010, at 6-7.

<sup>3</sup> See Docket Nos. MC2018-133 and CP2018-189, Notice Initiating Docket(s) for Recent Postal Service Negotiated Service Agreement Filings, March 23, 2018.

### III. COMMENTS

The Public Representative filed comments on March 29, 2018.<sup>4</sup> No other interested person filed comments. The Public Representative notes that section I.B. appears inconsistent with the remainder of the contract because it includes a reference to both inbound and outbound First-Class Package Service that is not reflected in the workpapers. PR Comments at 2. She otherwise concludes that the contract should generate sufficient revenue to cover its costs and comply with statutory requirements. *Id.*

### IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

The Commission has reviewed the Request, the contract, the supporting data filed under seal, and the Public Representative's comments.

*Product list requirements.* The Commission's statutory responsibilities when evaluating the Request include assigning First-Class Package Service Contract 92 to either the market dominant or competitive product list. See 39 U.S.C. § 3642(b)(1); 39 C.F.R. § 3020.34. Before adding a product to the competitive product list, the Commission must determine that the Postal Service does not exercise sufficient market power that it can effectively set the price of the product substantially above costs, raise prices significantly, decrease quality, or decrease output, without the risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms offering similar products. See 39 U.S.C. § 3642(b)(1). In addition, the Commission must consider the availability and nature of private sector enterprises engaged in delivering the product, the views of those who use the product, and the likely impact on small business concerns. See 39 U.S.C. § 3642(b)(3); 39 C.F.R. §§ 3020.32(f), (g), and (h).

---

<sup>4</sup> Public Representative Comments on Postal Service Request to Add First-Class Package Service Contract 92 to Competitive Product List, March 29, 2018 (PR Comments).

The Postal Service asserts that it provides postal services of the kind provided under the contract in a highly competitive market, that other shippers who provide similar services constrain its bargaining position, and that it can therefore neither raise prices nor decrease service, quality, or output without risking the loss of business to competitors. Request, Attachment D at 2. The Postal Service states that the contract partner supports the Request, that expedited shipping is widely available from private firms, and that the Postal Service is unaware of any small business concerns that could offer comparable services to the contract partner. *Id.* at 3.

The Commission finds that the Postal Service does not exercise sufficient market power that it can effectively set the price of the proposed product substantially above costs, raise prices significantly, decrease quality, or decrease output, without the risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms offering similar products. The availability of other private sector providers supports this conclusion. The contract partner and the Public Representative support the addition of the First-Class Package Service Contract 92 product to the competitive product list. Further, there is no evidence of an adverse impact on small businesses. For these reasons, having considered the relevant statutory and regulatory requirements, the comments filed, and the Postal Service's supporting justification, the Commission finds that First-Class Package Service Contract 92 is appropriately classified as competitive and is added to the competitive product list.

*Cost considerations.* Because the Commission finds First-Class Package Service Contract 92 is a competitive product, the Postal Service must also show that the contract covers its attributable costs, does not cause market dominant products to subsidize competitive products as a whole, and contributes to the Postal Service's institutional costs. 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a); 39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7. As long as the revenue generated by the contract exceeds its attributable costs, the contract is unlikely to reduce the contribution of competitive products as a whole or to adversely affect the ability of competitive products as a whole to contribute an appropriate share of

institutional costs. In other words, if the contract covers its attributable costs, it is likely to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

Based on a review of the record, the Commission finds that the rates during the first year of the contract should cover the contract's attributable costs. 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(2). The contract contains a price adjustment provision that adjusts contract rates during subsequent contract years. Request, Attachment B at 4. Combined with sufficiently high first-year cost coverage, the contract's price adjustments will help ensure that the contract will cover attributable costs in subsequent contract years. For these reasons, the Commission also finds that the contract should not result in competitive products as a whole being subsidized by market dominant products, in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1). Similarly, the Commission finds the contract is unlikely to prevent competitive products as a whole from contributing an appropriate share of institutional costs, consistent with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(3). See *also* 39 C.F.R. § 3015.7(c). Accordingly, a preliminary review of the contract indicates it is consistent with section 3633(a).

The Public Representative's concern that Section I.B. of the contract is inconsistent with the workpapers does not affect the Commission's cost coverage analysis. The reference in section I.B. of the contract to outgoing and incoming packages refers, respectively, to packages sent by the contract partner and packages sent to the contract partner (using the contract partner's account). Because such packages are not handled differently, the Postal Service incurs the same costs for each. Accordingly, the financial workpapers do not distinguish between outgoing and incoming packages.<sup>5</sup>

The Commission will review the contract's cost coverage and the contribution of competitive products as a whole to the Postal Service's institutional costs in the

---

<sup>5</sup> In contrast to First-Class Package Service, specialized shipping products such as Parcel Return Service involve a dedicated shipping process for packages inbound to the contract partner. Those specialized products have separate dedicated cost models, but none of those products are included in the instant contract.

Commission's Annual Compliance Determination to ensure that they continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

*Other considerations.* By its terms, the contract becomes effective two business days after the date that the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval. Request, Attachment B at 5. The contract is scheduled to expire three years from the effective date, unless, among other things, either party terminates the contract with 30 days' written notice to the other party or it is renewed by mutual agreement.<sup>6</sup>

The contract also contains a provision that allows the parties to extend the contract for two 90-day periods if a successor agreement is being prepared and the Commission is notified at least seven days prior to the contract's expiration date. *Id.* During the extension periods, prices will be adjusted as described in the contract. *Id.* The Commission finds the two potential 90-day extension periods are reasonable because: (1) prices are automatically adjusted in the extension period; and (2) the extension(s) should assist the Postal Service's contract negotiations by providing additional flexibility.

If the instant contract is terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date, the Postal Service shall promptly file notice of such termination with the Commission in these dockets.

In conclusion, the Commission approves First-Class Package Service Contract 92 as a new product. Revisions to the competitive product list and the Mail Classification Schedule appear below the signature of this Order and are effective immediately.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* Should both parties agree to renew the contract, any such renewal is required to follow the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and the Commission's implementing regulations of 39 C.F.R. part 3015.

V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

*It is ordered:*

1. First-Class Package Service Contract 92 (MC2018-133 and CP2018-189) is added to the competitive product list as a new product under Negotiated Service Agreements, Domestic. Revisions to the competitive product list and the Mail Classification Schedule appear below the signature of this Order and are effective immediately.
2. The Postal Service shall promptly file notice of the instant contract's termination with the Commission in these dockets if the instant contract terminates prior to the scheduled expiration date.
3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication in the *Federal Register* of an updated product list reflecting the change made in this Order.

By the Commission.

Stacy Ruble  
Secretary

## CHANGE IN PRODUCT LIST

The following material represents changes to the product list codified in Appendix B to 39 C.F.R. part 3020, subpart A—Competitive Product List. These changes reflect the Commission’s order in Docket Nos. MC2018-133 and CP2018-189. The Commission uses two main conventions when making changes to the product list. New text is underlined. Deleted text is struck through.

### **Appendix B to Subpart A of Part 3020—Competitive Product List**

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Negotiated Service Agreements\***

Domestic\*

\*\*\*\*\*

First-Class Package Service Contract 92

\*\*\*\*\*



## CHANGES TO THE MAIL CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE

The following material represents a change to the Mail Classification Schedule. The Commission uses two main conventions when making changes to the Mail Classification Schedule. New text is underlined. Deleted text is struck through.

### **Part B—Competitive Products** **2000 Competitive Product List**

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Negotiated Service Agreements\***

Domestic\*

\*\*\*\*\*

First-Class Package Service Contract 92

\*\*\*\*\*

**2500**                    **Negotiated Service Agreements**

\*\*\*\*\*

**2505**                    **Domestic**

\*\*\*\*\*

**2505.10**               **First-Class Package Service Contracts**

\*\*\*\*\*

- First-Class Package Service Contract 92

#### *Baseline Reference*

Docket Nos. MC2018-133 and CP2018-189

PRC Order No. 4561, April 2, 2018

#### *Included Agreements*

CP2018-189, expires April 3, 2021

\*\*\*\*\*